

S/N 09/870,811

Response to Office Action Dated June 15, 2005

**REMARKS**

In response to the Office Action dated June 15, 2005, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration based on the above claim amendments and the following remarks. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims as presented are in condition for allowance.

A review of the claims indicates that:

Claims 2-6, 10-16, 20, 22-32, 34-36, 40, 42-46 remain in their original form.

Claims 1, 9, 17, 19, 21, 33, 37, 39, 41 are currently amended.

Claims 7-8, 18, and 38 are currently cancelled.

**Allowable Subject Matter**

Claims 8-12, 18, 21-23, and 38-42 are objected to as being dependant upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. (*Action*, page 24). These claims are either incorporated into respective base claims leaving the base claims in condition for allowance, or depend from the amended and allowable base claims (as outlined below). Applicant wishes to thank the Office for its indication of allowable subject matter.

The claims have been placed into allowable form as follows:

- Regarding claim 7, its elements, as well as those of claim 8, have been incorporated into claim 1. Thus, claim 1 as well as claims 2-6 and 9-16, which depend from claim 1, are in

S/N 09/870,811

Response to Office Action Dated June 15, 2005

allowable form. Claims 7 and 8 are canceled due to this incorporation.

- Regarding claim 18, its elements have been incorporated into claim 17. Thus claims 19-36, which depend from claim 17, are in allowable form. Claim 18 is canceled due to this incorporation.
- Regarding claim 38, its elements have been incorporated into claim 37. Thus claims 39-46 which depend from claim 37, are in allowable form. Claim 38 is canceled due to this incorporation.

### 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-7, 14-17, 20, 24-26, 28-31, 34-37, and 43-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,513,019 to Lewis (hereinafter "Lewis") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,708,166 to Dysart et. al (hereinafter "Dysart") further in view of U.S. Patent Application No. 2005/0004978 to Reed et. al (hereinafter "Reed") and further in view of U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0138389 to Martone et. al (hereinafter "Martone").

Claims 13 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being anticipated by Lewis in view of Dysart further in view of Reed further in view of Martone and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,708,213 to Bommaiah et. al (hereinafter "Bommaiah").

S/N 09/870,811

Response to Office Action Dated June 15, 2005

1 Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being anticipated by Lewis  
2 in view of Dysart further in view of Reed further in view of Martone and further in  
3 view of U.S. Patent No. 6,571,274 to Jacobs et. al (hereinafter "Jacobs").

4 Claims 8-12, 18, 21-23, and 38-42 are objected to as being dependant upon  
5 a rejected base claim.

6 Claims 1-6, 9-17, 19-37, and 39-46 remain in this application.

7 In view of the amendments and remarks above, the rejections asserted by  
8 the Office are rendered moot and all pending claims are now in condition for  
9 allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejected claims.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

S/N 09/870,811

Response to Office Action Dated June 15, 2005

Conclusion

The Applicant submits that all of the remaining claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully requests that a Notice of Allowability be issued. If the Office's next anticipated action is not the issuance of a Notice of Allowability, the Applicant respectfully requests that the undersigned attorney be contacted for the purpose of scheduling an interview.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: Aug 23, 2005

By: Jim Patterson  
Jim Patterson  
Reg. No. 52,103  
Attorney for Applicant

LEE & HAYES PLLC  
Suite 500  
421 W. Riverside Avenue  
Spokane, Washington 99201  
Telephone: 509-324-9256 x247  
Facsimile: (509) 323-8979